

AN ANALYSIS OF DEGRADED POST-MINING AREAS TO BE RE-DEVELOPED – CASE STUDY: SLIME SEPARATORS

7.1 INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of economic transformations in Poland, including mainly the process of transforming the socialist economy into a market one, resulted in a large number of abandoned undeveloped post-mining areas. Many hard coal mining plants could not become profitable, which led to their liquidation. Closed mining plants are often located in town centres or in densely populated districts. They are abandoned or used to a modest extent, and their revitalization would require considerable expenditure. Another problem is related to areas affected by mining activity which are located away from the plant. Such areas could frequently be used in a new way, but: the visual condition is a deterrent preventing any activities, the property status of the areas is not regulated, the areas are largely contaminated and there are facilities difficult to adapt.

Among the visible effects of mining activity the one which comes to the fore is surface degradation, dependent on the technology of mining and geological conditions. Area subsidence, an effect of underground mining activity, frequently leads to the creation of characteristic elements of industrial and post-industrial landscape – overflow lands or inundated areas, which take up the farmland, woodland or settlement area.

Surface degradation also includes using the area for waste landfills formed as a result of fossil extraction and processing, so-called heaps and slime separators, where coal sludge of insufficient calorific value is stored. Post-mining waste landfills have a strong negative impact on the landscape aesthetics, but most importantly they are a source of harmful substances permeating to water and emissions of gaseous and dust contaminations. Particularly dangerous is the phenomenon of self-ignition, which tends to occur in older heaps, where frequently material containing several dozen per cent of coal was dumped [1].

In the article an initial analysis of a selected part of the post-mining area in the south-western district of Rybnik has been conducted. Basic problems hindering the development as well as the assets of the area have been presented.

7.2 INFLUENCE OF MINING ACTIVITY ON THE AREA

Mining activity has negative effects, which may continue for many years after stopping the extraction or which may permanently influence the affected area.

Among the visible effects the one which comes to the fore is physical degradation of the surface, dependent on the type of mining technologies, the intensity of mining as well as the geological form of the deposit.

Surface degradation is reflected in area subsidence, horizontal displacements or slopes. Apart from surface deformations, it directly translates into destruction or damage to buildings located in the degraded area. Area subsidence frequently leads to the formation of characteristic elements of industrial and post-industrial landscape – overflow lands and inundated areas which take up farmland, woodland or settlement land. An overflow land is water accumulation in the lowered part of the area. Partial inundations, on the other hand, lead to excessive water accumulation in soil in the subsided area. Negative effects of partial inundations include mainly: soil degradation, losses in crops and stand of trees, destruction of natural plant community.

Surface degradation also includes the use of an area for storing the waste produced as a result of fossil extraction and processing, so-called heaps and slime separators, where coal sludge of low calorific value is dumped. Post-mining waste landfills have a definitely negative influence on landscape aesthetics, but first of all, they are a source of harmful substances permeating into waters and emissions of gaseous and dust contaminations. Particularly dangerous is the phenomenon of self-ignition, which occurs mostly in older heaps with waste containing large amounts of coal.

Degradation is also reflected in a change of hydrographic conditions. Two groups of factors can be distinguished: factors directly influencing a change of water circulation and a group of factors indirectly contributing to a change in hydrographic conditions. Direct factors include: uptakes and discharges of water, discharges of deep mine waters to water courses, transfer of water between drainage bases as well as drainage and intensive, long-lasting extraction of groundwater. Indirect factors include among others: melioration works, regulation of water courses and their beds development, change in land use. The above described physical effects concern areas situated far away from the immediate vicinity of a mining plant, whereas the area related to mine overground infrastructure can be affected by effects of chemical degradation, which is reflected e.g. in the saturation of land with compounds harmful to human health or life.

7.3 INVESTMENT LIMITATIONS

The process of economic transformations in Poland caused among others the liquidation of many mining plants. Located frequently in or near urban centres, they are potentially good investment areas – they have convenient road and rail connections with an urban centre and are equipped with energy infrastructure. In the immediate vicinity of a former mining plant there are sometimes post-mining buildings with interesting, historical forms, which would be perfect headquarters of various subjects and institutions.

Unfortunately, due to many factors, in the investors' opinion, the disadvantages of post-mining areas outnumber their advantages. Major drawbacks include the lack of complete information on the key features of a given area – “unreasonably” divided area, unclear property situation, soil contamination or underground infrastructure or its chaotic remains, which make building works difficult. As a result, the investors' attention is directed to undeveloped areas, which despite being located far from the centres, are free of troublesome “surprises”, legal as well as environmental and building ones. A key to the investor's interest in redevelopment of a post-mining area is providing its detailed analysis, which accurately describes the area's past and the effects of mining activity, the current condition of the area as well as possible directions of its new development.

7.4 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AREA SUBJECTED TO ANALYSIS

The area selected for analysis is the reclaimed terrain of former slime separators of „Rymer” coal mine in Rybnik-Niedobczyce. Slime separators are used for storing waste produced in the coal refining process. The mined rock is contaminated with so-called gangue, which is next removed in the process of coal refinement in order to increase its energy value. Refining takes place in a water medium and the obtained products are subjected to dehydration; only particulates having a diameter of maximum 1mm get into the water. This suspension is called coal sludge. It is most frequently deposited in ground slime separators, in which particulate matter is separated from water by gravity forces. Water is returned to industrial circulation, whereas dehydrated coal sludge, depending on the percentage content of contaminations, is treated as waste or is sold as fuel. Slime separators are located in the immediate vicinity of former “Rymer” coal mine in Rybnik-Niedobczyce. The area of former „Rymer” coal mine is conventionally designated by the following boundaries:

- from the north – the bed of the Nacyna stream,
- from the east – National Road No. 78,
- from the south – boundary of the town of Rybnik and Radlin,
- from the west – Górnośląska Street in Rybnik.

These boundaries close an area of approximately 2.4 km². Mining area is no longer continued in this area and it is removed from the register of mining areas.

Major facilities located in the described area include:

- facilities of the former „Rymer” coal mine
- flotation and maintenance waste landfill „Stožki”,
- reclaimed sludge ponds,
- part of the railway line number 158 with Rybnik Rymer station,
- park at the foot of “Stožki” landfill,
- residential development,
- forest grounds.

Fig. 7.1 presents a map of the discussed area with marked facilities.



Fig. 7.1 Map of the former coal mine „Rymer”

- a. buildings of former coal mine „Rymer”,
 - b. waste landfill „Stożki”,
 - c. reclaimed sludge ponds,
 - d. railway station Rybnik-Rymer,
 - e. park at the foot of „Stożki” landfill,
 - f. residential development,
 - g. forest grounds
- Source: Own elaboration

7.5 CASE STUDY

Below has been presented a sample evaluation of former sludge separators in terms of their potential to be reused (Tab. 7.1 – Tab. 7.49).

Tab. 7.1 Proper name or short characteristics of an area

Reclaimed slime separators

Tab. 7.2 Code and location

| Place: | Commune: | Post-code: | Street, no.: |
|--------|----------|------------|--------------|
| Rybnik | Rybnik | 44-200 | Akacjowa |

Tab. 7.3 GPS coordinates – extreme points

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| N 50° 3'48.62"N | E 18°30'41.85"E | S 50° 3'41.22"N | W 18°30'17.94"E |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|

Tab. 7.4 Area size (ha)

7 ha

Tab. 7.5 Numbers of record parcels of the post-industrial area

6710/300, 1791/304, 1794/304, 779/304, 1870/304, 1866/304, 785/304, 1586/304, 1587/303, 1589/304, 2651/304, 1792/303, 1795/303, 778/303, 1869/303, 1865/303, 784/303, 1567/303, 1793/300, 1796/300, 2370/300, 1868/300, 1864/300, 783/300, 2371/300, 1867/300, 1863/300, 1588/300, 1591/300, 2652/300

Tab. 7.6 Structure of ownership

| Form of ownership | Share in proprietorship (in surface area percentage) |
|---|---|
| Treasury | 35% |
| Local government unit (commune, district or province) | 65% |
| State or local government legal persons | 0% |
| Other legal persons | 0% |
| Natural persons | 0% |

Tab. 7.7 Is the legal status of the area regulated?

| | | |
|-----|----|----------------|
| Yes | No | No information |
|-----|----|----------------|

Tab. 7.8 Status of reclamation activities (choose one option)

| Planned (approved for implementation) | Included in plans (intended for implementation) |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Completed | In progress |
| | None |
| | Other |

Tab. 7.9 Immediate intervention required

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.10 Utilities supply (networks in the area)

| Type | Yes/No | Type | Yes/No |
|------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| Electrical energy | No | Rain water sewer system | No |
| Potable water | No | Gas | No |
| Industrial water | No | Central heating | No |
| Sanitary sewage system | No | Telecommunications system | No |
| Combined sewer system | No | Other (what) | No |

Tab. 7.11 Local road and rail infrastructure

| Road and rail facilities | No. of road/railway | Distance from the area |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| The nearest existing local, regional or district road | DK 78 | 10 m |
| The nearest existing regional, district road – planned or under construction | - | - |
| The nearest regional or local railway | Railway no. 158 | 30 m |
| The nearest regional, local railway station | Rybnik Rymer | 300 m |

Tab. 7.12 Existence of development

| | | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| Developed | Undeveloped | No information |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|

Tab. 7.13 Local air pollution emission sources

| Emission source neighbourhood | Yes/No |
|---|--------|
| The area is adjacent to a sewage treatment plant, distance of less than 500m | No |
| The area is adjacent to point air pollution emission source, distance of less than 500m | No |
| Sewage treatment plant in the area | No |
| Point air pollution emission source in the area | No |

**Tab. 7.14 General description of buildings
(name, cubature, initial and current form of occupancy, property)**

| |
|----------------|
| No development |
|----------------|

Tab. 7.15 Local transmission lines in the vicinity of the area

| Type of infrastructure | Distance from the area |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sanitary sewage collector | 100 m |
| Medium-voltage power line | In the area |
| Low-voltage power Line | 50 m |
| Medium-pressure gas pipeline | 400 m |
| Low-pressure gas pipeline | - |

Tab. 7.16 Inactive waste landfills

| Facility | Distance | Remarks on arduousnes |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| Inactive municipal landfill site | - | - |
| Inactive industrial landfill site | 1500 m | |
| Mine waters discharge site | 100 m | |

Tab. 7.17 General internal evaluation of the transport network

| Type | General description (degree of development, technical condition) |
|--|---|
| Road network and car parks | - |
| Rail infrastructure | - |
| Other (walking paths, cycle lanes, horse etc.) | - |

Tab. 7.18 General types of current area use

| Production and service of production | Yes/No | Production and service of production | Yes/No |
|--|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Services | No | Open waters | No |
| Housing | No | Agriculture | No |
| Communication and transport | No | Unused area | No |
| Recreation in the open air | No | Other (specify) | No |
| Cultivated green areas or environment protection | | | Yes |

Tab. 7.19 Document specifying the directions of future area development (tick)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|----|
| Local area development plan | Yes | Land use and development directions plan | No |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|----|

Tab. 7.20 In terms of service (basic function - „B”, complementary function - „x”)

| Name type of service | B/x/- | Name type of service | B/x/- |
|---|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Service development | B | Waste management | - |
| Gas engineering | x | Power engineering | - |
| Areas with facilities over 2000m ² | x | Telecommunications | - |
| District heating | x | Sewage system | - |
| Other (specify) | - | Water distribution systems | - |

Tab. 7.21 Activity which contributed to degradation

| Name activity | Yes/No | Name activity | Yes/No |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| Power engineering | No | Industrial waste dumping | No |
| Metal industry | No | Municipal waste management | Yes |
| Chemical industry | Yes | Sewage treatment | No |
| Coke-making industry | No | Cement factory | Yes |
| Ferrous metallurgy | Yes | Transportation activity | Yes |
| Non-ferrous metallurgy | No | Underground coal mining | No |
| Mechanical industry | No | Opencast mining | No |
| Construction industry | No | Underground ore mining | No |
| Paper industry | Yes | Aggregate extraction | No |
| Textile industry | No | Sand extraction | No |
| Wood industry | No | Rock mining | No |
| Food processing | No | Peat exploitation | No |
| Other activity (specify) | No | Other activity (specify) | No |

Tab. 7.22 Presence of waste in the area

| Type of waste (classification according to the waste law) | Present | Not present | No information available |
|--|---------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Hazardous | | x | |
| Municipal | x | | |
| Other than hazardous | x | | |
| Neutral | | x | |
| Additional information about the type and amount of waste | | | |

Tab. 7.23 Is the area contaminated?

| | | |
|-----|----|----------------|
| Yes | No | No information |
|-----|----|----------------|

**Tab. 7.24 What kind of substances cause contamination of the area? Caution!
Define one type of predominant substance or more, if it is important.**

| Type of contaminating substance | Yes/No | No information available (but contamination is highly probable) |
|---|--------|---|
| Metals | Yes | |
| Organic compounds (other than pesticides) | No | |
| Emission of gases | No | |
| Pesticides | No | |
| Other (specify) | Yes | |

Tab. 7.25 Observed or expected radiological hazard

| | | |
|-----|----|-------------------|
| Yes | No | No data available |
|-----|----|-------------------|

Tab. 7.26 Is the analysed area a part of mining area?

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.25 Observed or suspected negative impact of contamination present in the area (observed – „O”, suspected – „S”, unlikely – „U”, no information available – „No”)

| Threatened facility | O/S/U/No | Threatened facility | O/S/U/No |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Human health | U | Buildings | U |
| Potable water resources | U | Nature conservation structures | U |
| Other water resources | S | Land in the vicinity of the area | U |
| Terrestrial ecosystem | U | Other (specify) | No |

Tab. 7.28 Is the analysed area a part of post-mining area?

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.29 Existing area deformations related to mining activity

| | | | |
|------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Continuous | Non-continuous | Not found | No information |
|------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|

Tab. 7.30 Expected area deformations related to mining activity

| | | |
|-----|----|----------------|
| Yes | No | No information |
|-----|----|----------------|

Tab. 7.31 Facilities in the local register of monuments in the analysed area

| Register, facility number | Description | Is the facility entered in the Register of Silesian Province Monuments or KESA? |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| - | - | - |

Tab. 7.32 Legally protected natural structures in the analysed area

| Name of facility | Protection category | Number in the register of Provincial Nature Conservation Officer |
|------------------|---------------------|--|
| - | - | - |

Tab. 7.33 Additional important information about the area (e.g. occurrence of downslopes over 15%, water holes, shallow underground voids, particularly large parking areas or storage yards, garages, vast scrubland, etc.)

| |
|---|
| - |
|---|

Tab. 7.34 Suggested preferences of area development directions in the light of its genesis – scoring

| Type of works | Yes/No | Type of works | Yes/No |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Production and production service areas | No | Communication and transport areas | No |
| Service development areas | Yes | Outdoor sport and recreation | No |
| Residential development | No | Green areas, nature | No |

Tab. 7.35 Possibility so multifunctional management (I work-live-rest) in the light of the genesis of the area and its size (only area over 20 ha)

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.36 Is natural valuation required in the light of area Genesis?

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.37 Is cultural valuation required in the light of area valuation?

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

**Tab. 7.38 Probable need for reclamation due to area genesis
(tick if such a need exists and specify the type of works)**

| Type of works | Yes/No | Type of works | Yes/No |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Production and production service areas | No | Communication and transport areas | No |
| Service development areas | Yes | Outdoor sport and recreation | No |
| Housing development | No | Green areas, nature | No |

Tab. 7.39 Category of mining or post-mining area

| | |
|--|---|
| Mining area (0, I, II, III, IV, V, not applicable) | Post-mining area (A, B1, B2, B3, C, not applicable) |
| not applicable | A |

Tab. 7.40 GZWP (Central Groundwater Reservoir) and groundwater intake)

| Criterion | Yes/No |
|--|--------|
| Existence of groundwater intake | No |
| Location in a groundwater intake protection zone | No |
| Location within GZWP | No |

Tab. 7.41 Exposure to flooding and partial inundation

| Criterion | Yes/No |
|---|--------|
| Location within a hydro-isohypse of 1m below ground level | No |
| Location within the reach of emergency wave | No |
| Location within the reach of one-hundred year water | No |
| Periodically flooded area | No |

Tab. 7.42 Forms of Nature protection forms, sanctuaries and ecological corridors

| Criterion | Yes/No |
|---|--------|
| Location within a large nature protection form (including NATURE 2000) or ECONET corridor or CORINE sanctuary | No |
| Existence of an individual nature protection form or CORINE sanctuary | No |

Tab. 7.43 Road facilities of supralocal significance

| Road facilities | Road number | Distance |
|---|-------------|----------|
| The nearest motorway or major road | A1 | 15 km |
| The nearest motorway or major road – designed or under construction | - | - |
| The nearest national Road | 78 | 10 m |
| The nearest motorway junction | A1 and A4 | 37 km |

**Tab. 7.44 Is the area located within a terrain defined as
„Environmental resources protection, strengthening of protected areas system
and multifunctional development of open areas – preferred economic functions?“**

| | |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
|-----|----|

Tab. 7.45 Access to air transport

| | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| The nearest air port | Name | Distance |
| | Katowice Pyrzowice | 76 km |

Tab. 7.46 Railway facilities of supralocal significance

| Railway facilities | Name | Distance |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| The nearest national railway line | Railway line number 158 | 30 m |
| The nearest railway trans-shipment station | Rybnik Towarowy | 200 m |

Tab. 7.47 Other transport-related facilities of supralocal significance

| Facility | Name | Distance |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| Container terminal | | |
| The nearest river port | | |
| Road border crossing | Chałupki | 20 km |
| Railway border crossing | Chałupki | 20 km |

Tab. 7.48 Area genesis versus contamination hazard

| | |
|---|-----|
| Due to its genesis, the area belongs to a group of contaminated areas or areas characterised by high probability of contamination | Yes |
|---|-----|

Tab. 7.49 Area genesis versus health hazard

| | |
|---|----|
| Due to the area genesis, there is a high potential threat to health of persons staying in it, detailed studies and calculations are recommended | No |
|---|----|

CONCLUSIONS

One of the conditions enabling developing an appropriate concept of management of a degraded area is to obtain knowledge about this area through specialist investigations in the legal, ecological, economic as well as social aspect.

The above presented analysis is considerably simplified. A comprehensive area study should among others include a number of specialist investigations determining the substances which contaminate the environment, hazardous substances.

Despite continuous improvement of solutions facilitating the reclamation processes (cluster connections, co-operation networks, information, communication, monitoring platforms, survey studies or environmental audits [7], [8]), data collection (including data generated in the process of co-operation between various level authorities, the enterprise sector and local community related to the area) as well as continuous updating and making this data available is still an issue which has not been completely resolved.

REFERENCES

- 1 P. Skotniczy. „Modelowanie trójwymiarowego przepływu powietrza wokół zwałowiska odpadów pogórnich”. *Prace Instytutu Mechaniki Górotworu PAN*, t. 7, no. 1-2, 2005.
- 2 J. Jaros. *Słownik historyczny kopalń węgla na ziemiach polskich*. Katowice: Śląski Instytut Naukowy, 1984.
- 3 A. Frużyński. *Kopalnie węgla kamiennego w Polsce*. Łódź: Księży Młyn 2012.

- 4 A. Czarnota. „W środku płoną miliony”, 05.2008. Regionalny Portal Informacyjny, Tygodnik Rybnicki. Available: <http://www.nowiny.pl/egazeta/tygodnik-rybnicki/2008-05-13/34969-w-srodku-plona-miliony.html>. [Accessed: Apr. 11, 2015].
- 5 Urząd Miasta Rybnik, Wydział Informatyki. Rybnicki System Informacji Przestrzennej. Available: <https://www.rsip.rybnik.eu> [Accessed: Apr. 12, 2015].
- 6 M. Pierściński, B. Białecka. „Wspomaganie procesu wyboru kierunku zagospodarowania terenów poprzemysłowych”. *Zrównowazona rewitalizacja terenów odegradowanych-dobre praktyki*. B. Białecka (ed.). Katowice: Główny Instytut Górnictwa, 2014.
- 7 J. Bondaruk, A. Pilch. „Ogólnodostępna Platforma Informacji – Tereny Poprzemysłowe i Zdegradowane, jako przykład systemowego podejścia do zarządzania danymi w zakresie zagadnień przestrzennych i środowiskowych”. Available: <http://www.e-slask.pl/files/zalaczniki/2013/12/17/1387286507/1387286747.pdf>. [Accessed: Jan. 6, 2015].
- 8 Ogólnodostępna Platforma Informacji – Tereny Poprzemysłowe i Zdegradowane jako integralna część Regionalnego Systemu Informacji Przestrzennej (RSIP). Available: <http://opitpp.gig.eu/celerezultaty.html>. [Accessed: Jan. 6, 2015].

AN ANALYSIS OF DEGRADED POST-MINING AREAS TO BE RE-DEVELOPED – CASE STUDY: SLIME SEPARATORS

Abstract: Economic transformations in Poland in the 1980s among others started the process of liquidation of many mining plants. Areas which until recently were subject to strong influences of mining economy, are now becoming post-mining areas. Degradation of an area, incomplete or scattered information on its legal conditions, its property structure, ecological condition, the existing logistic infrastructure and provision of utilities discourage potential investors from undertaking activities to re-use the area. This article presents an initial analysis of a selected post-mining area, which should make it easier to determine the directions of its future development.

Key words: degraded area, post-mining waste landfill, slime separators, re-development

ANALIZA POGÓRNICZYCH TERENÓW ZDEGRADOWANYCH W CELU PONOWNEGO ZAGOSPODAROWANIA – ANALIZA PRZYPADKU: OSADNIKI MUŁOWE

Streszczenie: Przeobrażenia gospodarcze w Polsce w latach osiemdziesiątych zapoczątkowały między innymi proces likwidacji wielu zakładów wydobywczych. Tereny, które do niedawna podlegały silnym wpływom gospodarki wydobywczej, stają się terenami pogórnymi. Zdegradowanie terenu, niepełne lub rozproszone informacje na temat uwarunkowań prawnych terenu, jego struktury własnościowej, stanu ekologicznego, istniejącej infrastruktury logistycznej, uzbrojenia w media, zniechęcają potencjalnych inwestorów do podjęcia działań zmierzających do ponownego wykorzystania terenu. W poniższym artykule przedstawiono wstępną analizę wybranego obszaru pogórnego, mającą ułatwić formułowanie kierunków jego przyszłego zagospodarowania.

Słowa kluczowe: teren zdegradowany, składowisko odpadów pogórnymi, osadniki mułowe, ponowne zagospodarowanie

Dr inż. Krzysztof MICHALSKI
Silesian University of Technology
Faculty of Organization and Management
Institute of Production Engineering
ul. Roosevelta 26, 41-800 Zabrze, Poland
e-mail: Krzysztof.Michalski@polsl.pl

Dr inż. Bartosz SZCZĘŚNIAK
Silesian University of Technology
Faculty of Organization and Management
Institute of Production Engineering
ul. Roosevelta 26, 41-800 Zabrze, Poland
e-mail: Bartosz.Szczesniak@polsl.pl

Date of submission of the article to the Editor: 06/16/2015

Date of acceptance of the article by the Editor: 06/29/2015